

2056/15

By Regd. Ad Post/By Hand
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

61/35, Copernicus Marg,
New Delhi
Date : 27/05/2016

From
The Principal Registrar
Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench, New Delhi
To

- ✓ 1. Shri Yogesh Sharma, counsel for the applicant, CAT Bar Room, New Delhi.
2. Shri Rajeev Sharma, counsel for the respondents no.2 to 4, CAT Bar Room, New Delhi.
3. Union of India through the Secretary, M/o I & B, Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-01.

Regd. : OA No. 4342/2015

AIR & DD Technical Employees Association through its Zonal Secretary
(North Zone), Shri Shyam Vir Singh & anr. Applicant(s)

Versus

UOI & ors.

Respondent(s)

Sir,


I am directed to forward herewith copy of Judgment/Order dated: 24/05/2016 passed by this Tribunal in the above mentioned case for information and necessary action if any.

Please acknowledge the receipt.

Yours faithfully,


Section Officer (J-II)
For Principal Registrar

Encl: As above




31/5

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

O.A. No. 4342/2015

New Delhi, this the 24th day of May, 2016.

**HON'BLE MR. P.K. BASU, MEMBER (A)
HON'BLE DR. BRAHM AVTAR AGRAWAL, MEMBER (J)**

1. A.I.R. & D.D. Technical Employees Association,
Through its' Zonal Secretary (North Zone),
Sh. Shyam Vir Singh,
Aged 52 years,
S/o Late Shri Netar Pal Singh,
R/o III/227, Kamla Nehru Nagar,
Ghaziabad.
2. Vinod Kumar, Aged 41 years,
S/o Shri Bodh Raj,
Technician, VLPT, Kishtwar,
DMC, Udhampur.
R/o 190, Lane-7, Shakti Nagar,
Jammu-180011.

.. Applicants

(By Advocate : Shri Yogesh Sharma)

Versus

1. Union of India through
The Secretary,
Ministry of Information and Broadcasting,
Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi-110001.
2. Chief Executive Officer,
Prasar Bharti, PTI Building,
New Delhi-110001.
3. Director General,
Doordarshan, Mandi House,
Copernicus Marg,



4. Director General,
All India Radio,
Akashwani Bhawan,
Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110001.

.. Respondents

(By Advocate : Ms. Radhalakshmi R. for Shri Rajeev Sharma
for R-2 to 4 and Shri S.P. Sharma, Consultant,
departmental representative on behalf of R-1)

ORDER (Oral)

By Hon'ble Mr. P.K. Basu

Heard both the sides.

2. The departmental representative on behalf of respondent No.1
- M/o Information & Broadcasting appears and states that the
matter has been examined in the Ministry and referred to Ministry
of Finance for their opinion and they expect that the decision will be
taken finally within a period of six weeks and, therefore, seeks time.
Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 seeks time to file reply.

3. However, in view of the fact that the Ministry has already
taken a view, the O.A. is disposed of, without going into the merits
of the case, with a direction to respondent No.1 - Ministry of
Information & Broadcasting, after obtaining a view from the
Ministry of Finance, to issue a reasoned and speaking order on the


of receipt of a copy of this order. If the applicants are still aggrieved by the order passed by the respondents, they are at liberty to challenge the same by filing a fresh O.A., in accordance with law.

No costs.

(Dr. Brahm Avtar Agrawal)
Member (J)

(P.K. Basu)
Member (A)

/Jyoti/



Free Copy U/R 22 of CAT.
(Part of Rules)
CERTIFIED COPY
27.5.16

Central Administrative Tribunal
प्रधान कार्यालय, नई दिल्ली
Principal Branch, New Delhi